## Scheme II ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) 5.0 equiv of PDC, $4-\AA \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) (i) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF:MeOH (9:1), $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}, 96 \%$, (ii) 1.2 equiv of dihydropyran, TsOH catalyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$, (iii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ catalyst, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (c) (i) 3.0 equiv of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 3.0$ equiv of imidazole, 2.0 equiv of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, benzene, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$, (ii) 1.1 equiv of $t$ - $\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiOSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}, 1.5$ equiv of 2,6 -lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$, (iii) 2.0 equiv of $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN catalyst, toluene, $\Delta$, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$, (iv) 0.1 equiv of PPTS, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$, (v) 1.1 equiv of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5$ equiv of pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$; (d) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, 1.1$ equiv of 15 -crown-5, DMF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $83 \%$; (e) (i) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ catalyst, $0-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$, (ii) 10 equiv of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHOMe}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ catalyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$, (iii) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1), \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, and then silica gel, $100 \%$ ( $\alpha: \beta$ ca. $9: 1$ ), (iv) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaH}, 10$ equiv $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$.

## Scheme III ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) 7 ( 3.0 equiv), PPTS catalyst, hexane ( 0.007 M ), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, $40 \%$ (based on aglycon, $50 \%$ conversion); (b) (i) 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF}(3: 2), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$, (ii) 2.5 equiv of ( $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 5.0$ equiv of tetramethylurea, 5.0 equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF}$ (3:2), 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 80 \%$ overall from 9; (d) (i) excess $\mathrm{HF} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $48 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \%$; (ii) 10.0 equiv of $\mathrm{HS}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SH}, 10.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (e) (i) 1.2 equiv of CSA, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$ h, and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$, (ii) 10 equiv of $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$.
$90 \%$ ), to produce the pentakis(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)- $N$-acetyl derivative of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$, which was identical ('H NMR, IR, UV-vis, MS, TLC, HPLC, optical rotation) with an authentic sample, prepared ${ }^{6}$ from natural amphotericin B (1). The total synthesis of amphotericin $\mathbf{B}$ (1) from intermediate 11 was then completed by (a) desilylation (HF-pyr, MeOH, $50 \%$ based on ca. $50 \%$ recovery ${ }^{18}$ followed by reduction of the azido group as
described above ( $90 \%$ ) leading to compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ and (b) sequential deprotection to amphotericin B(1) methyl ester (CSA, MeOH, and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 55 \%$ based on $\mathrm{ca} .50 \%$ conversion) and finally to amphotericin $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{1})$ itself ( $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 \%$ ). Synthetic amphotericin $\mathrm{B}(1)$ and its methyl ester were proven to be identical with authentic samples by the usual criteria [ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR, UV-vis, MS, TLC, HPLC, optical rotation]. Thus, the total synthesis of amphotericin B(1) was accomplished.

The total synthesis of amphotericin B (1) demonstrates the power of modern organic synthesis. With the described strategy and synthetic technology available, attention may now focus on other members of the polyene macrolide class. ${ }^{19}$ Accelerated advances in further total syntheses and structural elucidations in this field should be forthcoming. ${ }^{20}$
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Of the three possible types of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}$ four-membered rings, the saturated diazadiphosphetidines A have been widely studied, ${ }^{1}$ an example of the fully unsaturated $1,3,2 \lambda^{5}, 4 \lambda^{5}$-diazadiphosphete B has been recently isolated, ${ }^{2}$ but there is a lack of information
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## Scheme I


concerning the analogous monounsaturated derivatives $C$. Here we wish to report a facile synthesis of transient or relatively stable, depending on the nature of the substituents, $1,3,2 \lambda^{3}, 4 \lambda^{5}$-diazadiphosphetines and their electrocyclic ring opening.


A


B


C

Treatment of the easily available 1,3 -bis(trimethylsilyl)-2,4-bis(diisopropylamino)- $1,3,2 \lambda^{3}, 4 \lambda^{3}$-diazadiphosphetidine (1) (cis isomer) ${ }^{3}$ by a stoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led, after loss of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCCl}_{3}$, to iminophosphane-iminophosphorane 3a This compound, containing a dicoordinated phosphorus atom, was characterized by its typical ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum $(+303.7$ and -8.5 ppm, $J(\mathrm{PP})=103.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and by preparation of its carbon tetrachloride adduct $4 \mathrm{a} . .^{4.5}$ Above $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it dimerized to give diazadiphosphetidine 5a (cis isomer) as two diastereoisomers ${ }^{5}$ (Scheme I). Since addition of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ on silylated aminophosphines followed by $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCCl}_{3}$ elimination is a well-known method for

[^1]Scheme II

obtaining iminophosphoranes, ${ }^{6}$ it seems quite reasonable to rationalize the formation of 3 a by postulating the electrocyclic ring opening of a transient 4 -chlorodiazadiphosphetine 2a (Scheme I). Conclusive proof for this hypothesis was obtained by reacting 1 with an equimolar amount of tosyl azide. Indeed, 4 -azidodiazadiphosphetine $\mathbf{2 b}$ (one isomer) was found stable enough to be characterized by NMR at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta+83.2,+25.1$, $J(\mathrm{PP})=38.1 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PH})=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 1.19\left(\mathrm{dd}, J(\mathrm{PC})=4.43,2.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right) ;{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR $\delta 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PSi})=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ IR (pentane) $2135 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3)$. Electrocyclic ring opening of $\mathbf{2 b}$ occurred at ca. $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affording the corresponding iminophosphane-iminophosphorane $3 \mathrm{~b}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta+306.0,-7.4,\left(\delta^{31} \mathrm{P}+306.0\right.$ and $-7.4 \mathrm{pm}, J(\mathrm{PP})=85.6$ Hz ), which in turn quickly dimerized to $\mathbf{5 b}$ (cis isomer) as two diastereoisomers ${ }^{5}$ (Scheme I).
Finally, when the aminosilylated diazadiphosphetidine 6 (trans isomer) ${ }^{7}$ was treated with a stoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ at -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, we first observed the quantitative formation of iminophosphane $3 \mathrm{c}\left(\delta^{31} \mathrm{P}+341.1\right.$ and $\left.-10.6 \mathrm{ppm}, J(\mathrm{PP})=79.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, but instead of dimerizing upon warmup, 3 c rearranged into $1,3,2 \lambda^{3}, 4 \lambda^{5}$-diazadiphosphetidine 7 (cis isomer). ${ }^{5}$ It is noteworthy that 7 does not result from a direct $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCCl}_{3}$ exocyclic elimination because of the primary observation of $\mathbf{3 c}$. Moreover, although a direct pathway from 3 c to 7 cannot be totally excluded, this would be a very strange mechanism. It seems therefore very probable that there is an equilibrium between the open form $3 c$ and the diazadiphosphetine 2c, which then undergoes a classical $1 \sim 3$ trimethylsilyl migration leading to 7 (Scheme II).

To conclude, it appears that $1,3,2 \lambda^{3}, 4 \lambda^{5}$-diazadiphosphetines are intrinsically unstable because of the extensively displaced equilibrium in favor of the corresponding open form isomer but play an essentiel role as intermediates in the oxidation reaction of $1,3,2 \lambda^{3}, 4 \lambda^{3}$-diazadiphosphetidines.
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